

IOPP Tier Scoring Methodology

Last Updated June 14, 2017







Contents

- Relative Tier Scoring Methodology
- Absolute Tier Scoring Methodology



Relative Tier Methodology



Operational Metric Relative Tier Calculation: Metric Scores

Prior to the tier calculation, the individual metric score is calculated for each issuer in a given peer group.

- In this example, we will consider Issuer X and its tier ranking for the Compliance Review Metric.
- The Compliance Review metric is calculated as 50% of an Issuer's total findings, plus 30% of an Issuer's "High" findings, plus 20% of an Issuer's repeat findings for a given month in its most recent field review. Issuer X has a metric value of 3.6.

Issuer Number	Issuer Name	High Findings	Total Findings	Repeat Findings	Compliance Review Metric Value
1234	Issuer X	2	6	0	3.6
1111	Issuer A	3	8	0	4.9
2222	Issuer B	2	7	0	4.1
3333	Issuer C	4	10	0	6.2
4444	Issuer D	0	9	1	4.7
5555	Issuer E	3	8	4	5.7
6666	Issuer F	1	5	3	3.4
7777	Issuer G	2	9	5	6.1
8888	Issuer H	2	8	1	4.8
9999	Issuer I	2	6	0	3.6
1010	Issuer J	0	0	0	0
1212	Issuer K	3	8	3	5.5



Operational Metric Relative Tier Calculation: Peer Group

Following the metric score calculation, tiers are calculated within a peer group.

- An Issuer's relative tier ranking is determined by its relative performance within its peer group.
- Issuers in the same peer group are sorted by metric value, from worst metric value to best metric value.

Issuer Number	Issuer Name	Compliance Review Metric Value
3333	Issuer C	6.2
7777	Issuer G	6.1
5555	Issuer E	5.7
1212	Issuer K	5.5
1111	Issuer A	4.9
8888	Issuer H	4.8
4444	Issuer D	4.7
2222	Issuer B	4.1
1234	Issuer X	3.6
9999	Issuer I	3.6
6666	Issuer F	3.4
1010	Issuer J	0



Operational Metric Relative Tier Calculation: Tier Assignment

Next, the peer group is bucketed into 4 tiers, with 25% of the peer group in each tier.

- Since there are 12 Issuers in the peer group, each tier initially contains 3 Issuers.
- The 3 Issuers with the worst metric values are placed into tier 4, the next 3 Issuers into tier 3, and so on.
- Issuer X is initially placed into tier 2.

Issuer Number	Issuer Name	Compliance Review Metric Value	Tier
3333	Issuer C	6.2	4
7777	Issuer G	6.1	4
5555	Issuer E	5.7	4
1212	Issuer K	5.5	3
1111	Issuer A	4.9	3
8888	Issuer H	4.8	3
4444	Issuer D	4.7	2
2222	Issuer B	4.1	2
1234	Issuer X	3.6	2
9999	Issuer I	3.6	1
6666	Issuer F	3.4	1
1010	Issuer J	0	1



Operational Metric Relative Tier Calculation: Displayed Tier

If any Issuer has the same metric value as an Issuer in a higher tier, then that Issuer is moved to the higher tier.

- Issuer X has the same metric value as Issuer I, so it is moved from tier 2 to tier 1.
- The "IOPP Displayed Tier" column shows the tiers for the Compliance Review Metric that would be displayed on the IOPP tool.

Issuer Number	Issuer Name	Compliance Review Metric Value	Tier	IOPP Displayed Tier
3333	Issuer C	6.2	4	4
7777	Issuer G	6.1	4	4
5555	Issuer E	5.7	4	4
1212	Issuer K	5.5	3	3
1111	Issuer A	4.9	3	3
8888	Issuer H	4.8	3	3
4444	Issuer D	4.7	2	2
2222	Issuer B	4.1	2	2
1234	Issuer X	3.6	2	1
9999	Issuer I	3.6	1	1
6666	Issuer F	3.4	1	1
1010	Issuer J	0	1	1



Operational Metric Relative Tier Calculation: Null Values

Issuers with a null metric value are initially assigned an N/A tier.

- Null metric tiers are excluded from Overall Metric Score calculations.
- In this example, we will consider Issuer X and its tier ranking for the Early Pool Termination metric.
 - Issuer X has a null metric value for Early Pool Terminations and is assigned an N/A tier accordingly.
 - The rest of the Issuers are bucketed and tiered against each other as per usual relative tier treatment.

		Early Pool Termination Metric		
Issuer Number	Issuer Name	Value	Tier	IOPP Displayed Tier
8888	Issuer H	4	4	4
1111	Issuer A	3	3	3
2222	Issuer B	2	2	2
9999	Issuer I	1	1	1
1234	Issuer X	Null	N/A	N/A



Default Relative Tier Calculation: Using Controlled Metrics

Default metrics tier calculations rank based on a Controlled Metric Value rather than the Issuer's metric value.

- The Controlled Metric Value is calculated using the controlled peer group average, which buckets loans by cohort, state/region and loan purpose.
- This allows the default metrics to compare loans with similar characteristics to each other.
- First, we will calculate the controlled peer group average for Issuer X for the % of Loans in Foreclosure metric. Below is a sample of buckets for Issuer X.

Bucket		Issuer's Loan Counts in a	Total Issuer's	Issuer's % of Loans	% of Loans in Foreclosure of Peers' Loans in	[A] x [B]		
State	Cohort	Purpose	Bucket	Loan Counts	[A]	Same Buckets [B]	[א] א [ט]	
VA	2012	Purchase	190	237,109	0.08%	1.1%	0.001%	
VA	2012	Refinance	142	237,109	0.06%	3.7%	0.002%	
VA	2012	Modification	1399	237,109	0.59%	1.2%	0.007%	
	0				0		0	
	0				0		0	
	Total – All Bu	ckets			100%		34.2%	



Default Relative Tier Calculation: Controlled Peer Group Avg.

To calculate the controlled peer group average, calculate the below values.

- Issuer's % of Loans [A]
 - % of loans in each bucket for Issuer X, the sum of which is 100%.
- % of Loans in Foreclosure of Peers' Loans in Same Bucket [B]
 - % of Loans in Foreclosure of all Large Loans that are in each bucket, EXCEPT for Issuer X's Loan.
- [A] x [B]
 - Weighted average % of Loans in Foreclosure of Peer Group, excluding Issuer X, where the weighting is based on Issuer X's distribution of loans across buckets.
- Sum of [A] x [B]
 - Equals Controlled Peer Group Average of % of Loans in Foreclosure.

	Bucket		Issuer's Loan - Counts in a	Total Issuer's	Issuer's % of Loans	% of Loans in Foreclosure of Peers' Loans in	[A] x [B]
State	Cohort	Purpose	Bucket	Loan Counts	[A]	Same Buckets [B]	
VA	2012	Purchase	190	237,109	0.08%	1.1%	0.001%
VA	2012	Refinance	142	237,109	0.06%	3.7%	0.002%
VA	2012	Modification	1399	237,109	0.59%	1.2%	0.007%
	0				0		0
	0				0		0
	Total – All Bu	ckets		·	100%		34.2%

Note: all values in this example are hypothetical



Default Relative Tier Calculation: Controlled Metric Value

The Controlled Metric Value is calculated using the Issuer's Metric Value and the Controlled Peer Group Average Controlled Peer Group Average.

- The Controlled Peer Group Average represents a Peer Group average that is adjusted for each Issuer's unique distribution of loans across the buckets.
- The Controlled Metric Value is the ratio of the Issuer's actual % of Loans in Foreclosure Ratio to its Controlled Peer Group Average of % of Loans in Foreclosure ([C]/[D]).

Issuer Name	Loans	Number of Buckets	Issuer's % of Loans in Foreclosure [C]	Peer Group Average % of Loans in Foreclosure	Controlled Peer Group Average % of Loans in Foreclosure [D]	Controlled Metric Value [C] / [D]	Issuer's Loans included in Calculation	Issuer's Buckets included in Calculation
Issuer X	341,782	1,018	4.13%	1.78%	1.69%	244.38%	100%	100%
Issuer A	179,134	1,036	1.05%	1.78%	1.86%	56.45%	100%	100%
Issuer B	237,109	1,063	2.14%	1.78%	1.77%	120.90%	100%	100%

Note: all values in this example are hypothetical



Default Relative Tier Calculation: Rank the Controlled Metric Values

Tier calculation for default metrics utilizes the Controlled Metric Value.

- First, sort the issuers from worst Controlled Metric Value to best Controlled Metric Value and divide into four quartiles.
- Finally, if any Issuer has the same Controlled Metric Value as an Issuer in a higher tier, then that issuer is moved to the higher tier. This does not apply in this example.

Issuer Number	Issuer Name	% of Loans in Foreclosure Metric Value	Controlled Peer Group Average	Controlled Metric Value	Tier	IOPP Displayed Tier
5555	Issuer E	4.18%	1.52%	275.96%	4	4
1234	Issuer X	4.13%	1.69%	244.86%	4	4
6666	Issuer F	3.26%	1.59%	204.80%	4	4
7777	Issuer G	3.23%	1.72%	187.76%	3	3
2222	Issuer B	2.14%	1.77%	121.06%	3	3
4444	Issuer D	1.77%	1.78%	99.03%	3	3
1212	Issuer K	1.55%	1.80%	86.09%	2	2
8888	Issuer H	1.16%	1.94%	60.08%	2	2
1111	Issuer A	1.05%	1.86%	56.60%	2	2
1010	Issuer J	0.60%	1.93%	31.15%	1	1
9999	Issuer I	0.32%	1.89%	17.87%	1	1
3333	Issuer C	0.35%	1.94%	17.07%	1	1



Absolute Tier Methodology



Absolute Tier Calculations

Metric absolute tiers are assigned by predetermined metric value thresholds.

 Absolute tiers are determined entirely from metric values and regardless of Issuer peer group.

Metric	Formula	Applicable Programs	Tier 1/2 Cutoff	Tier 2/3 Cutoff	Tier 3/4 Cutoff
Number of DK's	Number of DKs in Past 12 Months / Number of Pools Issued in Past 12 Months	SF, MF, HMBS	0.0225	0.04515	0.0903

Issuer Number	Issuer Name	Number of DK's	Absolute Tier
5555	Issuer E	0.0346	2
1234	Issuer X	0.0198	1
6666	Issuer F	0.0000	1
7777	Issuer G	0.1584	4
2222	Issuer B	0.0789	3
4444	Issuer D	0.0988	4



Absolute Tier Thresholds

Metric	Tier 1-2 Cutoff	Tier 2-3 Cutoff	Tier 3-4 Cutoff				
Failure to Report	Binary	Binary: If > 0 observations in 6 months, Tier 4, else Tier 1					
RPB Corrections	Binar	ry: If > 0 observation in 3 m	onths, Tier 4, else Tier 1				
RFS Exceptions	0.0133	0.0302	0.0782				
HRA Exceptions	0.180004696	0.190002348	0.2				
% of Pools not	1.00%	7.50%	15.00%				
Certified	1.0070		10.0070				
Disclosure Edits	1	2	3				
New Pool Errors	1	2	3				
Compliance Review	2	3	4				
Metric		Ů					
Insurance Matching	99.85%	99.50%	99.00%				
Failure to Purchase							
Participations when	Rinan	y: If > 0 observation in 12 m	ponths Tier / else Tier 1				
Mandatory Event	Dillary	y. 11 > 0 00361 valio11 111 12 11	ionins, rier 4, eise rier i				
Occurs							
Commitment							
Authority	Binary: If $< 20\%$ of	4 month commitment autho	rity usage remaining, Tier 4, else Tier 1				
Shortage/Surplus							
Number of DKs	0.0225	0.04515	0.0903				
Insufficient							
Commitment	1	2	3				
Authority							
Early Pool	0.02005	0.0251	0.0868				
Terminations	0.02003	0.0231	0.0000				
Manual Deletions	Binar	ry: If > 0 observation in 3 m	onths, Tier 4, else Tier 1				